

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,787	02/25/2002	Rajendra Pendse	CPAC 1010-2 US	6217	
22470	7590 07/03/2002				
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 366				WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O	
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ģ	•					
Office Action Summary		10/084,787	PENDSE ET AL.  Art Unit			
	omeo monem cummany	Examiner Alexander O Williams	2826			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo			·			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replination for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror b. cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 i	February 2002				
2a) [		nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🗌 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Butee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language $\operatorname{proken}$					
Attachment	(s)	_				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Tr	adamark Office	· · _ · _ · _ · _ · · _ · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/084787 Attorney's Docket #: CPAC 1010-2US

Filing Date: 2/25/02; priority to 2/27/01

Applicant: Pendse et al.

Examiner: Alexander Williams

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in

the United States.

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/084,787

Art Unit: 2826

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 4, 6 to 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Rolda, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2002/0030261 A1).

For example, in claim 1, Rolda, Jr. et al. (figures 1 and 2) specifically figure 1 show a chip scale integrated circuit chip package **100** comprises a die **130** mounted by flip chip interconnection to a first surface **122** of a package substrate **120**, and second level interconnections **161** formed on the first surface of the package substrate.

Claims 1 to 4 and 6 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Inaba et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,166,443).

For example, in claim 1, Inaba et al. (figure 9) show a chip scale integrated circuit chip package 21 comprises a die 24 mounted by flip chip interconnection to a first surface of a package substrate 22, and second level interconnections 28 formed on the first surface of the package substrate.

Initially, and with respect to claim 5, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, <u>In re Hirao</u>, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also <u>In re Brown</u>, 173 USPQ 685; <u>In re Luck</u>, 177 USPQ 523; <u>In re Wertheim</u>, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); <u>In re Fitzgerald</u>, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); <u>In re Marosi et al.</u>, 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, <u>In re Thorpe et al.</u>, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rolda, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2002/0030261 A1).

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,787

Art Unit: 2826

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,166,443).

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

The listed references are cited as of interest to this application, but not applied at this time.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass: 257/686.685,723,777,778,734,737,738,784,786,787,692, 693,698	6/28/02
Other Documentation: foreign patents and literature in 257/686.685,723,777,778,734,737,738,784,786,787,692, 693,698	6/28/02
Electronic data base(s): U.S. Patents EAST	6/28/02

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 2800 via the Technology Center 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4-5B15. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 2800 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or 24. Only Papers related to Technology Center 2800 APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE FAXED to the GROUP 2800 FAX CENTER.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to *Examiner Alexander Williams* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-4863**.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,787

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Technology Center 2800* receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

6/28/02

Primary Examiner Alexander O. Williams